UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/817,153	04/05/2004	Takashi Akase	Q80941	1197	
23373 SUGHRUE MI		I EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	UHLENHAKE, JASON S			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2853		
		•		DELUEDA MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	L
_	١
- 7	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/817,153	AKASE, TAKASHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
ļ	Jason Uhlenhake	2853	
	Jason Uhlenhake	2853	

	Jason Uhlenhake	2853	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the c	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orightan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	linner with 27 CED 44 27 mount be	filed within two many	h
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ACSUSA ACSUSA
(a) \(\sum \) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	<i>,</i> ,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	incted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ecteu ciaims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	, ,,	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	intry is below or attacl	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	^		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	_	
13. Other:	11		•
A . A A 1	et.	EPHEN-MEIER	
1 1/1 (1)	7. 12	EPHERMEIEM NOV DATENT EYAN	INED

U.S. Paterly and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 is amended to include "a step of returning said print head to the reference position after printing said first reference pattern", which raises new issues and will need an updated search and further consideration.